~~SECRET~~

(No Feedback)

INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEET

IES Control Number: F

Source Number: 101

101

SECTION I

Collection Requirement: Conduct Utility Assessment "F" to
determine the extent of Source's remote viewing capability and
propensity to collect foreign intelligence information against a
"suspected",

SG1B   SG1B

Collection Requirement Generated By: DIA (DT-5)

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	☐	☐	☒	☐

A narrative explanation is required: See comments entered in
SECTION IV.

Use a continuation sheet if necessary.

NOTE:

POSSIBLE 'OVERLAP'
WITH "D" MAY HAVE
LED TO ANALYTICAL
OVERLAY + DATA
REJECTION PROBLEMS.

~~SECRET~~
(classification)

~~SECRET~~

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

		0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIa –	Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IIb –	Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IIc –	Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.	☐	☐	☑
IId.–	General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.	☐	☐	☑

~~SECRET~~
(classification)

~~SECRET~~

0- Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/ research, missile testing etc.	☐	☐	☑
IIf - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government.	☐	☐	☑
IIg - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.	☐	☐	☑

A narrative elaboration is requested:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

~~SECRET~~

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the intelligence value of the information provided.

☐   ☐   ☐   ☐   ☑

VERY USEFUL   USEFUL   MARGINAL   NO VALUE   CANNOT BE
                            VALUE   DETERMINED

Narrative comment required. Explain how information provided will be used (i.e. confirm other intelligence sources, targeting, etc.). If information is of marginal or no value, explain why.

See SECTION IV.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

~~SECRET~~

_____
(classification)

~~SECRET~~

SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any
aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing
high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to
use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to
convey your thoughts.

Source 101's inability to access the target during the course of
two sessions was an intriguing dilemma. Source, an experienced
viewer, appeared unable to grasp the full nature or scope of the
target. The following comments surrounding possible explanation
for Source's apparent failure to access the target are submitted
for your consideration:

-----A "suspected target" should not be used to conduct a utility
assessment for obvious reasons. The requirement of Utility
Assessment "B" should have been submitted as an operational
tasker.

-----In Session 01, Source 101 was tasked to identify the purpose
of two vertical objects (suspected air shafts?). There is no
apparent mention or levy of the "special target" or the "target
of intelligence interest." There could be several "two vertical"
objects at the suspected site.

-----In Session 02, Source 101 was allowed to "cruise around" prior
to accessing the "suspected" target. This strategy could
encourage the subconscious to roam about freely . The use of
sanctuary may have been more appropriate in this instance.

SG1J

SIGNATURE: ███████████████

DATE OF EVALUATION: *13 April 1987*

~~SECRET~~
(classification)

(classification)